

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/727,240	11/30/2000	Marc S. Phillips	PA990382	4366
23696	7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm Ir	ncorporated		BEAMER, 1	ГЕМІСА М
Patents Depart 5775 Morehou			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2681	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/727,240	PHILLIPS, MARC S.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Temica M. Beamer	2681
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the pr	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	·	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applic Ority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachmen	` '		
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Overy, taken solely or in combination with Schmidt, Reber and Frederiksen, fails to disclose a method of programming a macro to selectively operate features of a personal wireless communication handset comprising a procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs from the memory.

The examiner, however, disagrees. Overy discloses a radiotelephone that has speed-dialing capabilities (col. 4, lines 13-23). The user of the phone programs soft keys with speed-dial numbers (i.e., the sequence of inputs) into the memory of the phone. The sequence of inputs (speed dial telephone numbers) is retrieved from memory when the user presses a soft key that corresponds to the stored telephone number. Again, the soft keys read on the defined procedure for retrieving the stored telephone numbers as evidenced by the fact that the soft keys are programmed by the user.

Therefore, Overy taken solely and in combination with Schmidt, Reber and Frederiksen reads on the claims as currently presented. The rejections are set forth as described below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, 14, 15, 17 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Overy et al (Overy), U.S. Patent No. 6,122,530.

Regarding claims 1, 17, 21 and 22, Overy discloses the method/handset of selectively operating features of a personal wireless communication handset, comprising the steps of: initiating a program mode in a controller of the handset (col. 4, lines 40-45); entering a sequence of inputs (telephone numbers) using a keypad on the handset (col. 4, lines 40-45); storing said sequence of inputs in a memory (col. 4, lines 33-45); defining a procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs from the memory; and pressing a key on the keypad to initiate the procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs from the memory to operate selected features (speed dialing) of the handset (i.e., associating soft keys with programmed numbers) (col. 4, lines 33-45).

Regarding claims 11 and 14, Overy discloses a personal communication handset comprising: a display (5); a user-interface (9); a controller (4) coupled to the display and configured to communicate with said display so as to display a set of text descriptions allowing a user to view features of the personal communication handset, said controller

also coupled to the user-interface and configured to receive commands from said user-interface to access features of said personal communication handset (col. 3, lines 13-17, lines 32-35, col. 4, lines 32-45); a memory device coupled to the controller, such that said controller can retrieve data stored on said memory device (col. 3, lines 55-62, col. 4, lines 32-45); and a macro program stored in the memory device, said macro program comprising a set of user-interface inputs (speed dial numbers) for controlling at least one feature of the wireless communications device (col. 4, lines 33-45).

Regarding claims 2, 12, 15 and 23, Overy discloses the method/handset of claims 1, 11, 14 and 22 wherein the user interface is a keypad (col. 3, lines 18-32).

Regarding claims 6 and 19, Overy discloses the method of claims 2 and 17 wherein the procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs uses a soft key (col. 4, lines 40-45).

Regarding claim 8, Overy discloses the method of claim 1 wherein a menu list displays macros stored in said memory (stored telephone numbers) (col. 4, lines 55-66).

Regarding claim 9, Overy discloses the method of claim 8 wherein the menu list displays the defined procedure for retrieving a macro (i.e., which soft key to press in order to active speed dialing for a particular stored number) (col. 5, lines 1-18).

Regarding claim 10, Overy discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the macro operates a selected plurality of features (i.e., plurality of numbers stored) (col. 4, lines 40-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 5, 13, 16, 18, 20, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Overy and Schmidt, U.S. Patent No. 6,360,110.

Regarding claims 3 and 24, Overy discloses the method of claims 1 and 23 as described above. Overy, however, fails to disclose wherein the user interface is a voice recognition device.

In a similar field of endeavor, Schmidt discloses a selectable assignment of a default call address. Schmidt further discloses wherein speed dial numbers stored in a memory of a phone can be dialed via a voice command (col. 6, lines 56-59).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Overy with the teachings of Schmidt for the purpose of implementing hands-free operation of a mobile terminal, thereby freeing up the hands of a user in order to perform other tasks such as driving a vehicle.

Regarding claims 5, 13, 16 and 18, Overy discloses the method/handset of claims 2, 12, 14, 17 as described above. Overy, however, fails to disclose wherein the procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs uses a dedicated hot key.

In a similar field of endeavor, Schmidt discloses wherein a method for retrieving a sequence of inputs uses a dedicated hot key (col. 2, lines 4-13).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Overy with the teachings of Schmidt for the purpose of helping a user of the phone to easily and quickly dial a stored number.

Regarding claim 26, the combination of Overy and Schmidt discloses the method of claim 24 and further discloses wherein the procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs uses a dedicated hot key (Schmidt, col. 2, lines 4-13).

Regarding claim 20, Overy discloses a personal wireless communication handset comprising: a display (5); a keypad (9); a controller (4) coupled to the display and configured to communicate with said display so as to display a set of text descriptions allowing a user to view features of the personal wireless communication handset (col. 3, lines 13-35), said controller also coupled to the keypad and configured to receive commands from said keypad to access features of said personal wireless communication handset (col. 3, lines 13-35); a memory device coupled to the controller, such that said controller can retrieve data stored on said memory device; and a macro program stored in the memory device, said macro program comprising a set of keypad inputs to control at least one feature of the wireless communications device (col. 3, lines 54-64).

Overy, however, fails to disclose wherein the user interface has a dedicated hot key and wherein the macro program is initiated by pressing the hot key.

In a similar field of endeavor, Schmidt discloses wherein a method for retrieving a sequence of inputs uses a dedicated hot key (col. 2, lines 4-13).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Overy with the teachings of Schmidt for the purpose of helping a user of the phone to easily and quickly dial a stored number.

6. Claims 4 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Overy in view of Reber, et al Reber, U.S. Patent No. 6,002,946.

Regarding claims 4 and 25, Overy discloses the method of claims 1 and 23 as described above. Overy, however, fails to disclose wherein the user interface is a stylus device.

In a similar field of endeavor, Reber discloses a handheld device having an optical data reader. Reber, further discloses wherein the handheld device has a stylus user interface that is used to initiate commands (col. 2, lines 34-42).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Overy with the teachings of Reber since stylus type interfaces are well known devices used to access/initiate commands.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Overy in view of Frederiksen et al (Frederiksen), U.S. Patent No. 6,122,530.

Regarding claim 7, Overy discloses the method of claim 2 as described above.

Overy, however, fails to disclose a procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs using an overridden key on the keypad.

In a similar field of endeavor, Frederiksen discloses a phone number database for a telephone. Frederiksen further discloses a procedure for retrieving the sequence of inputs using an overridden key on the keypad (as described above in the 112 rejection) (col. 5, lines 6-43).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Overy with the teachings of Frederiksen for the purpose of helping a user of the phone to easily and quickly dial a stored number.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Temica M. Beamer **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2681

TMB 1/24/2005